

| | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | |
| | 10/701,876 | | PATSALOS, LOUIS | | |
| | Examiner | | Art Unit | | |
| | Laura Edwards | | 1734 | | |

All Participants:

(1) Laura Edwards.

(2) Alfred Walker.

Date of Interview: 31 October 2005

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: Afternoon

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 NONE

Claims discussed:
 All in general

Prior art documents discussed:
 NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Discussed formal amendments to place the application in better condition for allowance. See the attached Examiner's Amendment

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)